

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/893,677	06/29/2001	Makoto Tomioka	010680	9414		
38834	7590 12/01/2006	·	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	AN, HATTORI, DANIE	CZEKAJ,	CZEKAJ, DAVID J			
SUITE 700	ECTICUT AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2621			
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/893,677	TOMIOKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dave Czekaj	2621		

		Dave Ozekaj	2021	
The MAILING DATE of this con	nmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ado	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2006 FAILS	TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejectio this application, applicant must timely places the application in condition for a Request for Continued Examination time periods: 	file one of the follow allowance; (2) a No	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months	from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory period to the statut	mailing date of this A eriod for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJE	CTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFI nave been filed is the date for purposes of determ under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the eset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receiving reduce any earned patent term adjustment. NOTICE OF APPEAL	ining the period of ex expiration date of the s ved by the Office later	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed aft	er a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that woul				
(b) They raise the issue of new mat	ter (see NOTE belo	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or				the issues for
(d) They present additional claims v NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1			jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in complian	ce with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo				t P tt
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s). 			•	
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be 	d be rejected is pro		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•			
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideratior	··			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	'··			•
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed aff	ter a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will n	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a s was not earlier presented. See 37 CF	showing of good an	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed aff entered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reasons 	evidence failed to one why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entended in the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH		n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has See Continuation Sheet.	been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclo	sure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. 🔲 Other:				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 8, applicant argues that Igarashi fails to disclose the relayed image is formed between the relay optical system and the imaging optical system. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Igarashi figure 13. There Igarashi discloses the realyed image is formed between the realy optical system and the imaging optical system or eyepiece section. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

On page 10, applicant argues that Takahashi fails to disclose the operating/holding section includes part of the relay lens. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Takahashi figure 1. There Takahashi discloses the relay lens system (7) extends to both sections of the endoscope. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

MEHRDAD DASTOURI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2600